

# Peace Accords Matrix Implementation Dataset (PAM\_ID) Codebook

(Version 1.5, updated 29 July 2015)

Please always cite when using this dataset: Joshi, Madhav, Jason Michael Quinn & Patrick M. Regan. 2015. "Annualized Implementation Data on Intrastate Comprehensive Peace Accords, 1989-2012." *Journal of Peace Research* 52(4): 551-562.

## I. Introduction

The Peace Accord Matrix Implementation Dataset (PAM\_ID) is a project of the Kroc Institute of International Peace Studies at the University of Notre Dame, and contains data on the degree to which the provisions found within comprehensive intrastate peace agreements are implemented in the decade following the signing of the accord. The project defines a provision as a goal oriented reform or stipulation that is costly to one or both actors, falling under relatively discrete policy domain. Examples of a provision include: judicial reform, women's rights, educational reform, and natural resource management. Currently the project recognizes 51 different types of provisions that form the corpus of issues or topics found within peace agreements worldwide. This document describes the logic and coding rules underpinning the construction of the implementation dataset and specifies the indicators used to code the implementation of each provision on an annual basis.<sup>1</sup> Observation of the implementation of each provision begins immediately after the signing of the peace agreement and continues for ten years where applicable. Further details can be found in the following article introducing the data: Joshi, Madhav, Jason Michael Quinn & Patrick M. Regan. 2015. "Annualized Implementation Data on Intrastate Comprehensive Peace Accords, 1989-2012." *Journal of Peace Research* 52(4): 551-562.

Some common sources used by the PAM project in researching implementation status include:

Lexis-Nexis Academic Media Reports

UN Secretary General's Reports to the Security Council (<http://www.un.org/en/sc/documents/sgreports/>)

RefWorld (<http://www.unhcr.org/cgi-bin/txis/vtx/refworld/rwmain>)

Legislation online (<http://www.legislationline.org/>)

Relief Web (<http://reliefweb.int/>)

UN Refugee Agency (<http://www.unhcr.org/cgi-bin/txis/vtx/home>)

International Crises Group (<http://www.crisisgroup.org/en.aspx>)

UN Peacekeeping (<http://www.un.org/en/peacekeeping/>)

International Security Network (<http://www.isn.ethz.ch/isn/>)

UCDP Conflict Encyclopedia (<http://www.pcr.uu.se/research/ucdp/database/>)

Committee to Project Journalists (<http://cpj.org/>)

Amnesty International (<http://www.amnesty.org/>)

Small Arms Survey ([www.smallarmssurvey.org](http://www.smallarmssurvey.org))

IRIN Humanitarian News & Analysis (<http://www.irinnews.org/>)

Internal Displacement Monitoring Center (<http://www.internal-displacement.org/>)

Conflict specific articles and books

---

<sup>1</sup> This codebook was prepared by Madhav Joshi and Jason Quinn.

## II. General Coding Methodology (applied to each accord)

### 1. Provision X

Does this particular accord call for any goal-oriented reforms or stipulations that fall under Provision X? (If the answer is ‘No’, coding ceases for Provision X).

### 2. Implementation Initiated

This represents the start date of the implementation process. Initiation does not imply that progress towards implementation has been achieved, only that there are signs that the process—formal or informal—has begun. *Initiation* represents some observable measure of the start of the implementation process. Usually, this entails building the institutional framework for the process or making antecedent changes to remove restrictions so that the process can unfold. For example, instructions may be sent out to rebel forces on where to gather in order to participate in the demobilization process. *Initiation* can also mark the informal or formal start of the political/legal process to create a policy change or institution. Because the bulk of disagreements over policy or legislation are worked out before any official bill is put in front of lawmakers, *initiation* should be seen as the start of a process that debates and drafts the changes.

### 3. Degree of Implementation Achieved to Date

#### Minimal Implementation

The lowest level of implementation is labeled *minimal*. This category captures implementation processes that are non-viable, that is, processes initiated but not on track for a timely completion. *Minimal* means that there has been some effort made by the government and/or parties toward implementation but these efforts remain far short of what could be perceived as viable progress. *Minimal* implies that given the amount of progress achieved, as of the end of the current year, it is not likely that implementation will be completed by the end of the following year. Applying the concept of viability requires that we take on the point of view of a contemporary observer and ask: “Given what has been achieved thus far, can a reasonable argument be made that this rate of progress is viable for meeting the accord’s requirements by the end of next year?” This is an aggregate category, reflecting the summed level of implementation to date.

#### Intermediate Implementation

Considering the total amount of implementation achieved thus far (in the current year and in previous years), an intermediate level of implementation implies that the process is likely to be completed by the end of the following year, if continued at the current pace and including achievements thus far. Given that minimal refers to a non-viable rate of progress, intermediate refers to any amount considered viable, but short of full implementation. Intermediate should be used to describe an implementation process where an observer could make a reasonable argument that the amount of progress already achieved—and the current pace of progress— is likely to produce a nearly completed or completed process by the end of the next year.

### Full Implementation

By full implementation, we mean complete or nearly complete. In an implementation program that is nearly complete, the remaining amount would not be seen as adversely affecting the viability of the process and all parties would anticipate a completed process. The standard is whether the status of implementation achieved thus far (this year and previous years) is nearly complete given how this particular provision is specified in the accord. This coding should continue until the end of the ten year period unless a reversal is observed. If the accord calls for granting duties or functions to a new institution, full implementation requires the establishment of the new institution and evidence that it is operational. If in the process of implementing one of the provisions in an accord the goal shifts in a way that is acceptable to all parties, this can still reflect a fully implemented provision, under two conditions: 1) that all parties agree to the new standard, and 2) that this new standard has been fully implemented. Under these conditions 'full implementation' can fall somewhat short of the initial stipulation.

#### 4. Reversals

Reversal of progress in the implementation of a provision captures a deliberate dismantling of what has already been implemented. A slowdown in progress is not coded as a reversal. A reversal must also be one-sided. If both parties agree to rollback previously implemented programs, this would not be a reversal, but a shift in the ideal point. This is a dichotomous coding of whether or not a reversal took place in the year.

#### 5. Minor or Major Reversal

If a reversal took place, we code whether it was it a minor reversal or major reversal of what was achieved up to that point in the implementation process. A minor reversal suggests a change within an implementation category. In contrast, a major reversal suggests a change from one degree of implementation category to one under it, jeopardizing the viability of full implementation.

### III. Accord-Year Composite / Summary Implementation Variables

**total\_prov** Total Number of Provisions in Accord (0 to 51)

This variable is the total number of provisions contained in the peace accord.

**total\_implem\_points** Sum of Annual Implementation Points Across Provisions in Accord (0 to 3 points per Provision)

This variable is the sum of implementation points across all provisions in an accord, based on the three point ordinal implementation scale. This variable is additive and reflects the number of points achieved in previous accord-years plus the current accord-year. This variable does not take into account the number of provisions in the accord. For example consider a hypothetical accord, in its first year of implementation, containing 9 provisions in which three provisions are coded minimal (1 point each), three are coded intermediate (2 points each) and three are coded fully implemented (3 points each). For this accord, the number of implementation points achieved in year one is 18 (3+6+9).

**agg\_implem\_score** Aggregate Implementation Score (Achieved Points divided by Total Possible Points (0 – 100%))

This score reflects the aggregate level of implementation at the end of the accord-year in light of the number of provisions in the accord. This variable was constructed by taking the annual sum of implementation points across all provisions in an accord (**total\_implem\_points**) and dividing that sum by the highest possible implementation score that could have been received (number of provisions\*three points). This yields a normalized annual percentage that ranges from 0 to 100%. Consider, for example, the 1996 Mindanao Final Agreement in the Philippines which contains 24 different provisions. The highest possible score that could be attained by the end of year 1 if all provisions were fully implemented is 24 multiplied by 3, or 72 points. The actual score for year one was 12 points (**total\_implem\_points=12**), yielding an aggregate implementation rate of 16 per cent ((12/72)\*100). The aggregate implementation rate achieved by the end of year two in Mindanao was 21%.

### III. Definitions, Variables and Benchmarks for Coding Implementation Status of Provisions

#### Amnesty

The accord calls for legal guarantees exempting combatants, rebel leaders, and/or government officials from liability and prosecution for criminal or political offences committed during the conflict.

#### **amnest\_prov**

Provision for Amnesty (0 or 1)

Is there a provision for Amnesty in the accord?

#### **amnest\_init**

Implementation of Amnesty Initiated (0 or 1)

A process for amnesty has been initiated. Operationally, the beginning of a process will define the rules for giving amnesty to former combatants. This can involve debate over what crimes the amnesty will cover and who will be granted amnesty. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.

#### **amnest\_implem**

Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)

Minimal Implementation of Amnesty Observed

A minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward amnesty as described in the accord. Minimal progress toward amnesty suggests a sluggish approach. The process of granting amnesty may have begun, but few have actually received the benefit, aside perhaps the leadership. It is certainly possible that amnesty is moving slow because it is being blocked or being delayed.

Intermediate Implementation of Amnesty Observed

Relative to the language in the accord, the state of implementation progress towards amnesty is intermediate. Amnesty is proceeding, but is not completed. The amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is likely to be completed.

Full Implementation of Amnesty Observed

This coding indicates that amnesty, as called for in the accord, has been granted to the target group.

#### **amnest\_rev**

Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)

Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)

Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Arms Embargo

Agreement established by the United Nations or a regional security organization to limit or prohibit the sale or transfer of arms to particular groups either within or outside a state.

<b>embarg_prov</b>	Provision for Arms Embargo (0 or 1) Is there a provision for an arms embargo in the accord?
<b>embarg_init</b>	Implementation of Arms Embargo Initiated (0 or 1) A process for adhering to an arms embargo has been initiated. Operationally, this records announcements regarding the beginning of an arms embargo. This may involve debate over what is covered and who is covered. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>embarg_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Arms Embargo Observed Minimal, in the case of an embargo, means that an arms embargo is being prepared, but is not yet in place. The process may have begun, but it does not appear that the embargo is operational. Or, the embargo exists but is not being adhered to.  Intermediate Implementation of Arms Embargo Observed Relative to the language in the accord, adherence to the embargo is mixed or intermediate. The embargo has been established, but adherence to the embargo is mixed due to a lack of institutional capacity or commitment.  Full Implementation of Arms Embargo Observed This coding indicates that adherence to the arms embargo, as called for in the accord, was implemented.
<b>embarg_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Boundary Demarcation

The accord calls for a border change or other demarcation within the state that creates, divides, or otherwise alters provincial or other sub-state boundaries.

<b>bound_prov</b>	Provision for Boundary Demarcation (0 or 1) Is there a provision for Boundary Demarcation in the accord?
<b>bound_init</b>	Implementation of Boundary Demarcation Initiated (0 or 1) Boundary Demarcation has been initiated. Operationally, this records any attempt at the beginning of a process to alter the existing boundaries of provinces within the country such as a referendum or commission to alter provincial boundaries. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicator.
<b>bound_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Boundary Demarcation Observed Relative to the changes called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward boundary demarcation changes. The process of debating and drafting the proposed changes has begun, but the actual changes are yet to be realized.  Intermediate Implementation of Boundary Demarcation Observed Relative to the changes called for in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. There appears to be a process unfolding about making changes to existing boundaries. Intermediate means that progress has been made towards reform and the amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable in 1 or 2 years.  Full Implementation of Boundary Demarcation Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the changes called for in the accord were implemented. The changes are in effect.
<b>bound_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)



## Cease Fire

Calls for a stoppage or suspension of fighting; a military order to cease aggressive actions and movements; a ceasefire can be a part of a CPA or the CPA may inherit a ceasefire agreed upon in a previous agreement. Operationally, this measures a commitment by the government and rebels to the ceasefire agreement.

<b>cease_prov</b>	Provision for Ceasefire Commitment (0 or 1) Is there a ceasefire provision in the accord?
<b>cease_init</b>	Implementation of Ceasefire Initiated (0 or 1) This coding indicates whether or not the ceasefire agreement in the accord corresponded to an effort to stop the violence and the level of commitment to that effort. If a break in the violence took place, this counts as an initiation even if it was short lived and some violence took place. If yes, the degree of commitment is recorded in the following indicator.
<b>cease_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Ceasefire Observed This coding indicates a low commitment to the ceasefire; fighting between the former enemies is commonplace. If several violations occurred that took several months each to restore, the year is coded as minimal. The key indicator here is the behavior and comments of government and rebel leaders about the violence. A justification of violence is a signal of low commitment. In short, this category means that there is little optimism that the ceasefire will hold. When leaders use public threats of violence, they show their lack of commitment to the ceasefire.  Intermediate Implementation of Ceasefire Observed This coding indicates a mixed commitment to the ceasefire, which means that one or more violations of the ceasefire have occurred, but the ceasefire was restored within days or several weeks. Although a faction of the rebel group or government is engaging in violence, the leadership is speaking against or opposing the violence and working to restore the ceasefire.  Full Implementation of Ceasefire Observed This coding indicates that the commitment to the ceasefire is high and leaders display a strong commitment to maintaining the ceasefire. A minor clash may be reported, but they are denounced and not justified.
<b>cease_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Children's Rights

The accord calls for the protection of children's rights, which include protection from harmful influences, abuse and exploitation; access to education; and the ability to participate fully in family and social life; calls to expedite the demobilization and reintegration of child soldiers and to protect children in the post-accord environment.

<b>child_prov</b>	Provision for Children's Rights (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme/subject in the accord?
<b>child_init</b>	Implementation of Children's Rights Provision Initiated (0 or 1) Changes, usually institutional, to improve children rights and reintegration of child soldiers, if applicable, have been initiated. Operationally, the beginning of an aid process focuses on children. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicator.
<b>child_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Children's Rights Provision Observed Minimal progress has been made this year towards improving children rights by making institutional and/or legal changes. The process of debating and drafting the changes has begun, but the actual reforms are yet to be realized. At this rate, it might be questionable whether any such changes will actually be produced.  Intermediate Implementation of Children's Rights Provision Observed Relative to the accord's specifications, changes toward improving the rights of children are in an intermediate state. In this case, intermediate suggests that the political and legal process has produced changes in the rules or laws effecting children, but the decision has not been implemented fully. The amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable.  Full Implementation of Children's Rights Provision Observed This coding indicates that the changes, as described by the accord, are almost in place, or are fully in place.
<b>child_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Citizenship Reform

Changes made to the requirements of gaining citizenship status within the country as a whole, or within a sub-unit of the country; changing the process of acquiring permanent residency status in a particular area; the restoration of citizenship status that may have been revoked during the conflict; the removal of obstacles that are preventing certain individuals from returning to the country, or from running for elected office in the country.

<b>citiz_prov</b>	Provision for Citizenship Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for citizenship reform in the accord?
<b>citiz_init</b>	Implementation of Citizenship Reform Initiated (0 or 1) Citizenship reform has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to alter the rules affecting who gets citizenship in addition to changes in the electoral system based on citizenship. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicator.
<b>citiz_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Citizenship Reform Observed Relative to what is called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward citizenship reform. The process of debating and drafting the changes has begun, but the actual reforms are yet to be realized; they are possibly being deliberately delayed.  Intermediate Implementation of Citizenship Reform Observed Relative to the types of changes specified in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. In this case, intermediate suggests that the political and legal process has produced a decision or outcome, but that decision has not been implemented fully. The amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable in 1 or 2 years.  Full Implementation of Citizenship Reform Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the changes called for in the accord were implemented. The new laws are in place and they are being applied by the government or legal authorities.
<b>citiz_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Civil Administration Reform

Changes made to the civil administration bureaucracy at any level pertaining to issues of corruption, function, and the representation of groups.

<b>civadm_prov</b>	Provision for Civil Service Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for civil service reforms in the accord?
<b>civadm_init</b>	Implementation of Civil Service Reform Initiated (0 or 1) Civil service reform has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to alter the rules affecting employment, recruitment and retirement in addition to reforms that increase accountability and reduce corruption. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicator.
<b>civadm_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Civil Service Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward civil service reform. The process of drafting new rules has begun, but the actual reforms are yet to be realized; there is also the possibility that the reforms are being delayed.  Intermediate Implementation of Civil Service Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. Progress has been made toward reform, and some changes are evident. For example, the reform bills are currently under consideration in the legislature or in the executive branch. The amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable in 1 or 2 years.  Full Implementation of Civil Service Reform Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the reforms called for in the accord were implemented. The civil service is operating under new rules.
<b>civadm_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

### **Commission to Address Damage/Loss**

An internal or external committee with the authority to address the damage and loss reported by the victims of conflict.

<b>addloss_prov</b>	Provision for Commission to Address Damage/Loss (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme/subject in the accord?
<b>addloss_init</b>	Implementation of Commission to Address Damage/Loss Initiated (0 or 1) Initiation, in this case, involves the parties to the conflict reaffirming their desire to have arbitration on this matter. Forming the international arbitration committee, however, has not begun. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicator.
<b>addloss_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Commission Observed Minimal, in this case, means the formal process of setting up the committee has begun and involves both parties as described in the accord.  Intermediate Implementation of Commission Observed The commission has been established and both parties are cooperating with its investigation or legal process, but the committee has not yet ruled on the matter. In other words, intermediate here suggests an ongoing court trial or process.  Full Implementation of Commission Observed This coding indicates that a commission was established and was able to render verdicts on cases or a final judgment.
<b>addloss_rev</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Constitutional Reform

The accord calls for changes to be made to the national constitution such as adding to or revoking parts of the existing constitution, or creating a new constitution.

<b>const_prov</b>	Provision for Constitutional Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for Constitutional reform in the accord?
<b>const_init</b>	Implementation of Constitutional Reform Initiated (0 or 1) Constitutional reform has been initiated. Operationally, this records a process whereby the appropriate group or commission is being created to write or change the constitution. If a constitutional convention, or constituent assembly, is planned whereby the delegates will draft the constitution, then the scheduling of that convention represents the point of initiation. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicator.
<b>const_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Constitutional Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward constitutional reform. The process of drafting may be ongoing, but the actual reforms are yet to be realized; there is also the possibility that the reforms are being delayed. At this rate, implementation is in jeopardy.  Intermediate Implementation of Constitutional Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. Progress has been made toward reforming the constitution, and some changes are evident. The amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable in 1 or 2 years.  Full Implementation of Constitutional Reform Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the reforms called for in the accord were implemented. The constitution has been changed along the lines of what was called for by the accord.
<b>const_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Cultural Protections

The accord calls for measures to be taken to protect: a particular culture, cultural site, cultural artifacts, cultural history, cultural recognition, or the right to practice one's culture of choice, from internal or external influences, displacement level migration, or repression.

<b>cultr_prov</b>	Provision for Cultural Protection (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme in the accord?
<b>cultr_init</b>	Implementation of Cultural Protection Provision Initiated (0 or 1) The implementation for this provision has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a political or legal process to protect the cultural practices of a certain cultural group. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicator.
<b>cultr_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Cultural Protection Provision Observed Relative to the changes called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward setting up a system for cultural protection. At this point, there is an ongoing political or legal process for altering existing laws.  Intermediate Implementation of Cultural Protection Provision Observed Relative to the changes called for in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. Intermediate, in this case, means that some of the legal changes have passed, but implementation has not taken place.  Full Implementation of Cultural Protection Provision Observed This coding indicates that legal changes called for by the accord have been passed and they are being applied by legal authorities.
<b>cultr_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## **Decentralization / Federalism**

A process of transferring governmental power over the collection and allocation of resources, government functions, and decision making away from a centralized authority and toward the actual area being governed, whether a regional, state or local municipality.

<b>decen_prov</b>	Provision for Decentralization / Federalism (0 or 1) Is there a provision in the accord for Decentralization / Federalism?
<b>decen_init</b>	Implementation of Decentralization / Federalism Initiated (0 or 1) Initiation of Decentralization / Federalism usually takes the form of passing new legislation. Initiation is not a measure of progress but intended to capture the start of the process. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>decen_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation Achieved (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2 or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Decentralization / Federalism Observed This coding indicates if the new legislation was passed or the new institution was created, but little else has been accomplished toward actually transferring the government duties or functions to the new location. This category suggests a non-viable rate of progress. If this level of progress were to continue, full implementation would be very unlikely.  Intermediate Implementation of Decentralization / Federalism Observed This coding indicates that Decentralization / Federalism is being implemented at a rate that is viable. New institutions have been set up and are operational, even if the full purview of responsibilities and decision-making authority have yet to be transferred. If this rate continues, full implementation is very likely.  Full Implementation of Decentralization / Federalism Observed This coding indicates that Decentralization/Federalism was fully implemented. Governmental power has been devolved to a regional, state or local level and the duties specified in the accord were in fact transferred, so that the new leaders have administrative authority over the new areas.
<b>decen_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)



## Demobilization

The formal and controlled discharge of active combatants from the armed forces or other armed groups. The first stage of demobilization may extend from the processing of individual combatants in temporary centers to the massing of troops in camps designated for this purpose (cantonment sites, encampments, assembly areas or barracks).

<b>demob_prov</b>	Provision for Demobilization (0 or 1) Is there a provision for demobilization of combatants in the accord?
<b>demob_init</b>	Implementation of Demobilization Initiated (0 or 1) The formal initiation of the demobilization process is underway. Registration of former combatants has begun or procedures and instructions have been given as to where to go to register for the demobilization program. If yes the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>demob_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation Achieved (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Demobilization Observed Sources indicate that registration and processing have occurred, but the number of former combatants who have registered or have been demobilized is minimal. If this level of progress were to continue, full implementation would be unlikely by the end of next year. Estimates of demobilized troops are under 25% of the total number to be demobilized.  Intermediate Implementation of Demobilization Observed This coding indicates that significant numbers of former combatants have been demobilized. Progress may not be swift, but the rate of progress suggests that full implementation is a realistic goal by the end of next year. Somewhere between $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ of the expected number of troops have entered the demobilization process as of the end of this year.  Full Implementation of Demobilization Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the anticipated number of participants in the demobilization program have been demobilized.
<b>demob_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Detailed Timeline

The agreed upon timeline by which the various provisions of the peace agreement will be implemented. Accords often have multiple timelines for different provisions; this coding refers to whether the general timeline was followed for the provisions outlined.

<b>time_prov</b>	Provision for establishing Detailed Timeline? (0 or 1) Is there a provision for a timeline in the accord?
<b>time_init</b>	Implementation of Detailed Timeline Initiated (0 or 1) Initiation, in this case, means that of the provisions for this particular accord that were included in the timeline, more than 50 percent were initiated on time. For example, if the timeline calls for 6 provisions to be implemented the first year, then at least 4 must be initiated the same year. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>time_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Detailed Timeline Observed Minimal, in this case, means that of the provisions for this particular accord that were included in the timeline, most achieved at least a minimal level of progress at some point between the start and end dates of the timeline.  Intermediate Implementation of Detailed Timeline Observed Intermediate, in this case, means that of the provisions for this particular accord that were included in the timeline, most achieved at least an intermediate level of implementation at some point between the start and end dates of the timeline.  Full Implementation of Detailed Timeline Observed Full, in this context, means that of the provisions for this particular accord that were included in the timeline, most were fully implemented at some point between the start and end dates of the timeline.
<b>time_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Disarmament

The collection, documentation, and control of small arms, ammunition, explosives and light and heavy weaponry used in the war.

<b>disarm_prov</b>	Provision for Disarmament (0 or 1) Is there a provision for disarmament of combatants in the accord?
<b>disarm_init</b>	Implementation of Disarmament Initiated (0 or 1) The formal initiation of the disarmament process is underway. Instructions have been given as to where to go to register for the demobilization program, as well as procedures for turning in weapons. Locations have been prepared and designated for the disarmament process. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>disarm_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation Achieved (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Disarmament Observed Sources indicate that registration and processing have occurred, but the number of former combatants who have turned in a weapon is minimal. If this level of progress were to continue, full implementation by the end of next year is unlikely.  Intermediate Implementation of Disarmament Observed This coding indicates that significant numbers of former combatants have turned in their weapons. Progress may not be swift, but the rate of progress suggests that full implementation is a realistic goal. In-between ¼ and ¾ of the expected number of weapons have been turned in by the end of this year.  Full Implementation of Disarmament Observed This coding indicates that most of the expected number of weapons held by former combatants have been turned in.
<b>disarm_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## **Dispute Resolution Commission**

Domestic commission (national, sub-national, or local) set up to solve disagreements that arise during the implementation process. The focus of these bodies is the implementation of the accord and the channeling of conflicts through institutions.

<b>disput_prov</b>	Provision for Dispute Resolution Committee (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme/subject in the accord?
<b>disput_init</b>	Implementation of Dispute Resolution Committee Initiated (0 or 1) The process of choosing a group has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to create such a committee. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>disput_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Dispute Resolution Committee Observed Minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward setting up a dispute resolution committee. The institutional framework is being formally established. The process may have begun for choosing members, but the group is either not yet meeting or the two sides are not cooperating. At this rate, it is questionable whether the group will ever be functional.  Intermediate Implementation of Dispute Resolution Committee Observed With regard to an existing and operational committee, progress is in an intermediate state. The institutional framework for the commission is in place and members have been appointed. Both sides are showing a fair amount of willingness to meet and resolve disputes that are arising over the implementation of the peace agreement.  Full Implementation of Dispute Resolution Committee Observed This coding indicates that the committee as described by the accord is operational and the members have shown a commitment on prior occasions to solve problems.
<b>disput_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Donor Support

The accord calls for broad financial support from foreign states and/or international organizations in support of the implementation of the peace agreement.

<b>donor_prov</b>	Provision for establishing Donor Support (0 or 1) Is there a provision for donor support in the accord?
<b>donor_init</b>	Implementation of establishing Donor Support Initiated (0 or 1) Donor support establishment has been initiated. For this case, initiation means that donor support is being discussed or planned for the first time outside of the accord. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>donor_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of establishing Donor Support Observed A minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward establishing donor support. Operationally, this records the beginning of a formal process to seek donor support, like the formation of conferences and calls for donor support.  Intermediate Implementation of establishing Donor Support Observed The work of establishing donor support has been intermediate. Some donors are committed and at least one conference has been held. Numerous pledges have been made, but few donations have been made by this point.  Full Implementation of establishing Donor Support Observed This coding indicates that most of those who pledged donor support ended up allocating funds toward the implementation process.
<b>donor_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Development

Reforms or programs aimed at improving the economic and social development of the nation or areas of the nation.

### **develop\_prov**

Provision for Economic and Social Development Reform (0 or 1)  
Is there a provision for this subject in the accord?

### **develop\_init**

Implementation of Economic and Social Development Reform Initiated (0 or 1)  
Economic and Social Development reform has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a political and/or legal process to improve the socio-economic standards of a particular group, population or region. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.

### **develop\_implem**

Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)

#### Minimal Implementation of Economic and Social Development Reform Observed

Relative to the changes called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made toward economic and social development reform. The process of debating and drafting new programs and policies has begun, but the actual reforms are yet to be realized.

#### Intermediate Implementation of Economic and Social Development Reform Observed

Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. Meaningful efforts toward socio-economic reform in the specified areas are underway, but not all the scheduled programs are operational. In this sense, intermediate means that programs are in the mid-stages of development.

#### Full Implementation of Economic and Social Development Reform Observed

Full implementation, in this case, suggests that programs were created to address the problems described in the accord and these programs are underway. Reports indicate that population segments are participating and benefiting in these programs.

### **develop\_revers**

Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)

Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)

Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Education Reform

Reforms or changes to the structure, content, quality, accessibility of education.

<b>educat_prov</b>	Provision for Educational Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for education reform in the accord?
<b>educat_init</b>	Implementation of Educational Reform Initiated (0 or 1) Educational reform has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to alter general access to education or educational content in addition to changes in the curriculum. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>educat_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Educational Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward educational reform. The process of debating and drafting new reforms has begun, but the actual reforms are yet to be passed.  Intermediate Implementation of Educational Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. Progress has been made on institutional and/or legal fronts, and some reforms are being put into place. Given the amount of progress thus far, full implementation seems within reach.  Full Implementation of Educational Reform Observed This coding indicates that most of the reforms called for by the accord were implemented. Reports indicate that the educational reforms are now in place.
<b>educat_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## **Electoral / Political Party Reform**

Reforms or changes to the electoral system that affect opportunities for participation by individuals and parties, allowing rebel groups to become active political parties.

<b>elect_prov</b>	Provision for Electoral/Political Party Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for Electoral/Political Party Reform in the accord?
<b>elect_init</b>	Implementation of Electoral/Political Party Reform Initiated (0 or 1) Electoral/Political Party reform has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to alter the rules affecting elections or political parties. For example, initiatives have been taken to make the rebel group into a political party. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>elect_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Electoral/Political Party Reform Observed Relative to the changes called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward electoral/political party reform. The process of debating and drafting new rules has begun, but the actual reforms are yet to be realized; there is also the possibility that they are being deliberately delayed or blocked.  Intermediate Implementation of Electoral/Political Party Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. Intermediate means that progress has been made towards changing the way elections are conducted or who may participate as political parties. The amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable in 1 or 2 years.  Full Implementation of Electoral/Political Party Reform Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the changes called for in the accord were implemented. The electoral/party system is now operating under new rules.
<b>elect_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category) Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)



## Executive Branch Reform

Reforms related to the composition, tenure, and power of the executive branch of government.

<b>exerefm_prov</b>	Provision for Executive Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for executive branch reforms in the accord?
<b>exerefm_init</b>	Implementation of Executive Reform Initiated (0 or 1) Executive reform has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to alter the selection process for those in the executive branch in addition to changes in the relationship between the executive branch and other branches, especially the legislature. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>exerefm_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Executive Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward executive reform. The process of debating and drafting new legislation concerning executive reform has begun, but the actual reforms are yet to be realized or are being deliberately delayed.  Intermediate Implementation of Executive Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. Progress has been made and some changes are evident. Given the amount of progress thus far, full implementation seems within reach.  Full Implementation of Executive Reform Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the reforms called for by the accord were implemented. There are some indications that the process is completed.
<b>exerefm_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category) Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Human Rights

The accord contains language calling for the recognition of human rights or providing for the enforcement of human rights such as joining a treaty, or establishing a human rights commission. Implementation refers to the specific stipulations in the accord rather than the level of respect for human rights within the country. Monitoring human rights conditions can sometimes assist in this evaluation.

<b>humrts_prov</b>	Provision for Human Rights (0 or 1) Is there a provision regarding Human Rights in the accord?
<b>humrts_init</b>	Implementation of Human Rights Provision Initiated (0 or 1) Changes, usually institutional, to improve human rights conditions have been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a political or legislative process to create a National Human Rights Commission, join an international human rights treaty or alter the legal system or constitution to improve human rights conditions. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>humrts_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Human Rights Provision Observed Minimal progress has been made this year towards ending human rights abuses through institutional and/or legal changes. There has been progress toward an institutional framework for changing human rights practices, but the level of functionality is low. At this rate, it might be questionable whether any such changes will actually be produced.  Intermediate Implementation of Human Rights Provision Observed Operational changes are in an intermediate state. The institutional framework is in place, but progress and operational visibility are mixed. This could be the result of a slow political or legal process. For example, the National Human Rights Commission is established, but its record suggests that institutional capacity or commitment could be improved. As for treaties, intermediate progress would suggest that the country is making steps toward ratifying the treaty. By and large, the amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable in 1 to 2 years.  Full Implementation of Human Rights Provision Observed This coding indicates that the changes as described by the accord are almost in place, or are fully in place. For example, the country has joined a human rights treaty (if applicable), or fully established an operational human rights commission (if applicable) that is actively investigating human rights violations.
<b>humrts_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Independence Referendum

An independence referendum allows an area of an existing state to have an election in which the inhabitants of the area in question decide to seek independence or remain part of the existing state.

<b>indrefer_prov</b>	Provision for an Independence Referendum (0 or 1) Is there a provision in the accord for having an Independence Referendum?
<b>indrefer_init</b>	Implementation of Independence Referendum Initiated (0 or 1) Initiation of a referendum usually takes the form of scheduling or planning for the election. This is not a measure of progress, but intended to capture the start of the process or program. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>indrefer_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation Achieved (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2 or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Independence Referendum Observed This category suggests a non-viable rate of progress. No initiative has been taken to host an election. If this level of progress were to continue, full implementation would be very unlikely.  Intermediate Implementation of Independence Referendum Observed This coding indicates that progress toward having the referendum is being made at a viable rate. Progress could be seen as slow by some, but the government is not purposefully delaying or blocking the process. If this rate continues, full implementation this year is very likely.  Full Implementation of Independence Referendum Observed This coding indicates that the independence referendum has taken place.
<b>indrefer_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Indigenous Minority Rights

The accord recognizes a particular group based on their historical ties to a particular territory and calls for measures to preserve the group, their access to historical lands, and/or to increase their political power and influence in the making of policy that affects them.

<b>indmin_prov</b>	Provision for Indigenous Rights (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme/subject in the accord?
<b>indmin_init</b>	Implementation of Indigenous Rights Provision Initiated (0 or 1) Changes, usually institutional, to protect indigenous rights have been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a political or legal process to protect or restore indigenous rights. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>indmin_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Indigenous Rights Provision Observed Minimal progress has been made this year towards protecting or restoring traditional indigenous rights by making some institutional and/or legal changes.  Intermediate Implementation of Indigenous Rights Provision Observed Relative to the accord's specifications, the process is an intermediate state. An institutional framework is in place to protect or restore a traditional right, as called for by the accord, but the outcome is not fully complete. By and large, the amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable.  Full Implementation of Indigenous Rights Provision Observed This coding indicates that the changes as described by the accord are almost in place, or are fully in place.
<b>indmin_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## **Inter-Ethnic/State Council**

Councils which mediate or structure sustained interaction between Ethno-Religious groups or between ethnic groups and the government. They may handle limited aspects of governance and provide recommendations to governmental bodies on legal and political issues.

<b>ethrel_prov</b>	Provision for Interethnic Relations Council (0 or 1) Is there a provision regarding Interethnic Relations in the accord?
<b>ethrel_init</b>	Implementation of Interethnic Relations Council Initiated (0 or 1) An Interethnic relations council has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to create such a council or governing body made up of members from different groups to help foster communication and conflict resolution. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>ethrel_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Interethnic Relations Council Observed Relative to the language in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward setting up a formal platform for mediating interethnic relations. The process may have begun, but the goal is yet to be realized.  Intermediate Implementation of Interethnic Relations Council Observed With regard to what full implementation would resemble, progress is in an intermediate state. Some progress has been made, and some changes are evident. The amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable in 1 or 2 years.  Full Implementation of Interethnic Relations Council Observed This coding indicates that the recommended platform for interethnic mediation is almost in place, or is fully in place.
<b>ethrel_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## **Internally Displaced Persons**

Language, policies, and programs aimed at persons or groups of persons who have not crossed an internationally recognized state border but have been forced to leave their homes or residence as a result of the armed conflict.

<b>idps_prov</b>	Provision concerning assistance to Internally Displaced Persons (0 or 1) Is there a provision for IDP assistance in the accord?
<b>idps_init</b>	Implementation of assistance to Internally Displaced Persons Initiated (0 or 1) The formal initiation of a process for IDP assistance is underway. Registration or instructions have been given as to how to proceed. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>idps_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation Achieved (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of assistance to Internally Displaced Persons Observed Sources indicate that IDP assistance is in place, but the process is either just starting, there are problems, or the number of IDPs who have benefited so far is minimal. If this level of progress were to continue, full implementation in two to three years would be unlikely.  Intermediate Implementation of assistance to Internally Displaced Persons Observed This coding indicates that thousands of IDPs have been assisted in their return home. Progress may be swift or slow, but the rate of progress suggests that full implementation is a realistic goal within two years.  Full Implementation of assistance to Internally Displaced Persons Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the anticipated number of IDPs have returned home.
<b>idps_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## International Arbitration

The accord calls for a method of resolving disagreement in which the disputants present their case to an impartial third party, who then makes a decision for them which resolves the conflict. This decision is usually binding.

<b>arbint_prov</b>	Provision for International Arbitration (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme/subject in the accord?
<b>arbint_init</b>	Implementation of International Arbitration Initiated (0 or 1) Initiation, in this case, involves the parties to the conflict reaffirming their desire to have arbitration on this matter. Forming the international arbitration committee, however, has not begun. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>arbint_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of International Arbitration Observed Minimal, in this case, means the formal process of setting up the committee has begun and involves both parties as described in the accord.  Intermediate Implementation of International Arbitration Observed With regard to international arbitration, the commission has been established and both parties are cooperating with its investigation or legal process, but the arbitration committee has not yet ruled on the matter. In other words, intermediate here suggests an ongoing court trial or process.  Full Implementation of International Arbitration Observed This coding indicates that arbitration was established and was able to render a final report or judgment on the matter.
<b>arbint_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Judiciary Reform

Reforming the process of appointing or electing judges, the general criminal or civil justice system, or issues of group representation in the judiciary.

<b>judrfm_prov</b>	Provision for Judiciary Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for judiciary reforms in the accord?
<b>judrfm_init</b>	Implementation of Judiciary Reform Initiated (0 or 1) Judiciary reform has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to alter the selection process or appointment process concerning judges in order to increase group or regional representation. This also records changes in the relationship between the bar and judicial branch to increase general access to the judicial branch. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>judrfm_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Judiciary Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward judicial reform. For example, the political actors, or Joint Committee, are debating and drafting new legislation concerning judicial reforms, but the actual reforms are yet to be realized or are being deliberately delayed.  Intermediate Implementation of Judiciary Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. Progress has been made in the legislature regarding judicial reforms, and some changes in the judicial branch are evident.  Full Implementation of Judiciary Reform Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the judicial reforms called for in the accord were implemented. Reports indicate that new judges have been appointed based on the new laws.
<b>judrfm_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)



## Legislative Branch Reform

Reforms or changes in the rules that effect the composition of the legislature; reforms to the procedures and rules governing lawmaking.

<b>legref_prov</b>	Provision for Legislative Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for legislative reforms in the accord?
<b>legref_init</b>	Implementation of Legislative Reform Initiated (0 or 1) Legislative reform has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to alter the rules affecting the legislature in addition to changes in the relationship between legislative branch and other branches. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>legref_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Legislative Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward legislative reform. The process of debating and drafting new rules has begun, but the actual reforms are yet to be realized; they are possibly being deliberately delayed.  Intermediate Implementation of Legislative Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. Progress has been made toward reform, and some changes are evident. For example, the reform bills are currently under consideration in the legislature or in the executive branch. The amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable in 1 or 2 years.  Full Implementation of Legislative Reform Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the legislative reforms called for in the accord were implemented. The legislature is now operating under the new rules.
<b>legref_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Media Reform

Reforms which affect freedom of the press, media licensing, media ownership, censorship, and the protection of journalists from violence and intimidation.

<b>media_prov</b>	Provision for Media Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for media reform in the accord?
<b>media_init</b>	Implementation of Media Reform Initiated (0 or 1) Media reform has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to alter the rules affecting freedom of speech and expression, the expansion of licensing, and ownership of media outlets. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>media_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Media Reform Observed Relative to the changes called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made toward media reform. The process of debating and drafting new rules has begun, but the actual reforms are yet to be realized; there is also the possibility that they are being deliberately delayed or blocked.  Intermediate Implementation of Media Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. Intermediate, in the case, suggests that changes to the existing laws have taken place. The restrictions on media freedom have been lifted, but the process of expanding media outlets though licensing is progressing slowly.  Full Implementation of Media Reform Observed This coding indicates that in policy and practice the media reforms have been implemented.
<b>media_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## **Military Reform**

The accord calls for changes in the structure, leadership, or composition of the national armed forces, changes in training procedures; civilian control over the use of the military; the integration of opposition troops into the national army

<b>milrfm_prov</b>	Provision for Military Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for military reforms in the accord?
<b>milrfm_init</b>	Implementation of Military Reform Initiated (0 or 1) The procedures have been set up and/or formal initiation of military reform is underway. For example, reports announce that a program has begun to create a new national military or new units. The military has been renamed, or rebel forces integrated, troops downsized, or new training has begun - as called for in the accord. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>milrfm_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Military Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made thus far toward those goals. If creating a new armed force, or integrating rebel forces: the screening process is underway but little integration has occurred. If downsizing: a similar process is underway for government troops. If training: segments of the military have entered the new training program. If this level of progress were to continue, full implementation would be unlikely.  Intermediate Implementation of Military Reforms Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, the military, government, numerical benchmarks of achievement toward those goals are being reported. If creating a new armed force, there are reports that recruits have joined the new army. If integration is the goal, several rounds of rebels have joined the national military, or new rebel units have been formed. If downsizing is the program, there are reports of the remaining number of troops. If training, several rounds of troops should have completed the program by now. A useful metric would be somewhere between ¼ and ¾ complete. If this same level of progress were to continue, full implementation by the end of next year is a realistic goal.  Full Implementation of Military Reform Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the Military reforms called for in the accord are completed or close to being completed.
<b>milrfm_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Minority Rights

Reforms affecting the rights of national, ethnic, religious or linguistic minority groups in areas such as: government usage of languages; participation in public life, political decision making at national or local levels; relations with other groups within the state or outside it.

<b>minrts_prov</b>	Provision for Minority Rights (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme/subject in the accord?
<b>minrts_init</b>	Implementation of Minority Rights Provision Initiated (0 or 1) Changes, usually institutional, to protect minority rights have been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a political or legal process to protect minority rights. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>minrts_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Minority Rights Provision Observed Minimal progress has been made this year towards protecting minority rights by making some institutional and/or legal changes.  Intermediate Implementation of Minority Rights Provision Observed Relative to the accord's specifications, the process is in an intermediate state. An institutional framework is in place, but the outcome is not fully complete. By and large, the amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable.  Full Implementation of Minority Rights Provision Observed This coding indicates that the changes as described by the accord are almost in place, or are fully in place.
<b>minrts_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Natural Resource Management

The accord contains language dealing with the utilization of natural resources, or the right to own, extract, and sell natural resources, or the sharing of profits associated with such resources.

<b>natres_prov</b>	Provision for Natural Resource Management Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this subject in the accord?
<b>natres_init</b>	Implementation of Natural Resource Management Reform Initiated (0 or 1) Natural Resource Management reform has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a political and/or legal process to change how natural resources are used and who benefits, economically. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>natres_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Natural Resource Management Reform Observed Relative to the changes called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made toward natural resource management reform. Reforms have not yet been passed, but the political and legal process is ongoing.  Intermediate Implementation of Natural Resource Management Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. Intermediate suggests that the laws governing natural resource management have been altered or changed, but only partially implemented. Stated differently, intermediate implies that reforms are in the mid-stages of development. In light of the amount of progress achieved thus far, being able to point to meaningful reforms may be viable.  Full Implementation of Natural Resource Management Reform Observed This coding indicates that most of the changes called for in the accord were implemented. Sometimes, little is actually required by the government for full implementation. Also, outcomes may not be affected at all, even if the rules of resource management have been changed.
<b>natres_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Official Languages and Symbols

Changes to the official state language and/or symbols such as flags or monuments.

<b>offlan_prov</b>	Provision for altering Official Language/Symbol (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this subject in the accord?
<b>offlan_init</b>	Implementation of altering Official Language/Symbol Initiated (0 or 1) Altering the official language and/or symbol has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a legal or political process to make the changes. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>offlan_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of altering Official Language/Symbol Observed Relative to the types of changes described in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward changing the official language or symbol. In this case, minimal progress would suggest the early stages of legal development toward passing an official change of language or symbol.  Intermediate Implementation of altering Official Language/Symbol Observed Relative to the changes described in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. If the official language is being changed, the process will take longer than a change of symbol. For language changes, how to apply the new law is also a process. Given the amount of progress thus far, full implementation seems within reach.  Full Implementation of altering Official Language/Symbol Observed This coding indicates that the official language and/or symbol was passed and the law is fully implemented.
<b>offlan_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Paramilitary Groups

Language involving the regulation and treatment of paramilitary groups including militias and mercenaries.

**pargrp\_prov** Provision for Paramilitary Groups (0 or 1)  
Is there a provision for paramilitary groups in the accord?

**pargrp\_init** Implementation of Paramilitary Groups Initiated (0 or 1)  
The initiation of a plan for dealing with paramilitary groups is underway. For example, reports announce that paramilitary groups must come forward and choose sides or register. If yes, the degree of implementation was recorded in the following indicators.

**pargrp\_implem** Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)

Minimal Implementation of Paramilitary Groups Observed

A minimal amount of progress has been made thus far toward the plan on dealing with Paramilitary Groups. The screening process or registration process might be underway but little has occurred. If this level of progress were to continue, it would leave paramilitary groups intact for the next year.

Intermediate Implementation of Paramilitary Groups Observed

Benchmarks of achievement are being reported. It appears that paramilitary groups will not be allowed to remain intact and active in the post-accord environment and the new government is serious about the plan. If this same level of progress were to continue, implementation in one year would be a very realistic goal.

Full Implementation of Paramilitary Groups Observed

This coding indicates that the plan for dealing with Paramilitary Groups was implemented and the groups are no longer active.

**pargrp\_revers** Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)

Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)

Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Police Reform

The accord calls for changes in the structure, leadership, or composition of the police force; changes in training procedures; civilian control over the police; the integration of opposition troops into the police.

<b>polrfm_prov</b>	Provision for Police Reform (0 or 1) Is there a provision for police reform in the accord?
<b>polrfm_init</b>	Implementation of Police Reform Initiated (0 or 1) The formal initiation of police reform is underway. For example, reports announce that a program is starting to integrate rebel forces into the national police, or install new training, as called for in the accord. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>polrfm_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Police Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made thus far. If creating a new police force or integrating rebel forces, the screening process is underway but little integration has occurred. If training, classes have entered the new training program. If this level of progress were to continue, full implementation in 3 to 4 years would be unlikely.  Intermediate Implementation of Police Reform Observed Relative to the total amount of reforms specified in the accord, implementation is intermediate. There may be reports that several rounds of recruits have joined the new police forces. If integration is the goal, several rounds of rebels have joined the police forces or new rebel units have been formed. If this same level of progress were to continue, full implementation in two to three years would be a realistic goal.  Full Implementation of Police Reform Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the police reforms called for in the accord have been implemented.
<b>polrfm_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)



## Prisoner Release

The accord calls for the release of some or all political prisoners or those imprisoned during the fighting by one or more sides.

<b>prisr_prov</b>	Provision for Prisoner Release (0 or 1) Is there a provision for Prisoner Release in the accord?
<b>prisr_init</b>	Implementation of Prisoner Release Initiated (0 or 1) A process for Prisoner Release has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to define the rules for determining who qualifies to be released. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>prisr_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Prisoner Release Observed A minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward releasing prisoners as described in the accord. Minimal progress suggests a sluggish approach. The process may have begun, but few have actually been released, aside from perhaps a few leaders. It is certainly possible that the release is being blocked or being delayed. At this rate, implementation within one year is unlikely.  Intermediate Implementation of Prisoner Release Observed Relative to the language in the accord, the state of implementation progress is intermediate. Prisoner releases is proceeding, but is not complete. The amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable within 12 months.  Full Implementation of Prisoner Release Observed This coding indicates that almost all prisoners were released, as called for in the accord. Most of the time, the government refuses to release 10 to 20 prisoners. This is acceptable under this category.
<b>prisr_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Review of Agreement

A call for internal or external review of the implementation status of the accord after some period of time has passed, or at specific intervals of time.

<b>roa_prov</b>	Provision for Review of Agreement (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme/subject in the accord?
<b>roa_init</b>	Implementation of Review of Agreement Provision Initiated (0 or 1) A review of agreement mechanism has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginnings of such a process. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>roa_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Review of Agreement Provision Observed Minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward setting up a structural mechanism for review. The process of formally establishing the group is underway, but the group is not yet established. An active process could include such features as a nomination process for membership.  Intermediate Implementation of Review of Agreement Provision Observed With regard to an existing and operational review process, progress is at an intermediate state. The committee or working group in charge of providing review has been formally established and appears to operational in that both sides are meeting to review the status of implementation.  Full Implementation of Review of Agreement Provision Observed This coding indicates that a review process was successfully created.
<b>roa_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## **Ratification Mechanism**

The accord calls for a process by which the peace agreement can be conferred formal legal status by a legislature or election.

<b>ratm_prov</b>	Provision for Ratification Mechanism (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this subject in the accord?
<b>ratm_init</b>	Implementation of Ratification Mechanism Initiated (0 or 1) The ratification mechanism has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to ratify accord content and/or the formation of bodies to debate and draft how ratification will take place. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>ratm_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Ratification Mechanism Observed A minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward establishing the ratification mechanism. If the ratification is to be by referendum, the date of elections will be scheduled. If by legislative assembly, the bill is introduced into the legislative process.  Intermediate Implementation of Ratification Mechanism Observed The state of implementation is intermediate. Progress has been made toward establishing the ratification mechanism. For example, the changes have been drafted and a referendum is scheduled.  Full Implementation of Ratification Mechanism Observed This coding indicates the ratification mechanism called for in the accord took place. The accord content was passed and/or approved by the ratification mechanism called for in the accord.
<b>ratm_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Refugees

Language, policies, and programs aimed at persons or groups of persons who have crossed an internationally recognized state border after having been forced to leave their homes to escape violence or threats of violence.

<b>refug_prov</b>	Provision concerning Refugees (0 or 1) Is there a provision for refugee repatriation or assistance in the accord?
<b>refug_init</b>	Implementation of Refugee repatriation or assistance Initiated (0 or 1) The formal initiation of a process for refugee repatriation or assistance is underway. Registration or instructions have been given as to how to proceed. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>refug_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation Achieved (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Refugee repatriation or assistance Observed Sources indicate that refugee assistance is in place, but the process is either just starting, there are problems, or the number of refugees who have benefited so far is minimal. If this level of progress were to continue, full implementation in two to three years would be unlikely.  Intermediate Implementation of Refugee repatriation or assistance Observed This coding indicates that thousands of refugees have been assisted in their return home. Progress may be swift or slow, but the rate of progress suggests that full implementation is a realistic goal within two years.  Full Implementation of Refugee repatriation or assistance Observed This coding indicates most of the anticipated number of refugees has returned home.
<b>refug_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Regional Peacekeeping Force

The accord requests a peacekeeping force to be deployed under the command of a regional organization.

<b>regpkf_prov</b>	Provision for Regional Peacekeeping (0 or 1) Is there a provision for a regional peacekeeping force in the accord?
<b>regpkf_init</b>	Implementation of Regional Peacekeeping Initiated (0 or 1) Initiation, in this case, involves the parties to the conflict reaffirming the desire and making plans for a regional peacekeeping force in the country. This may involve involvement from the contributing states in the host country. Forming the regional peacekeeping force, however, has not begun. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>regpkf_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Regional Peacekeeping Observed Minimal, in this case, means the formal process of creating a regional peacekeeping mission has begun. The contributing states have agreed to send a certain number of troops.  Intermediate Implementation of Regional Peacekeeping Observed The regional peacekeeping mission has been formally established, but the level of troops has not yet reached 70 percent of the force level prescribed by the mandate.  Full Implementation of Regional Peacekeeping Observed This coding indicates that at least 70 percent of the number of regional troops originally pledged have been deployed and are carrying out their missions.
<b>regpkf_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

**Reintegration**

Programs under which ex-combatants receive job training, education, or compensation to assist them in their reintegration back into civilian life.

<b>reint_prov</b>	Provision for Reintegration (0 or 1) Is there a provision for reintegration in the accord?
<b>reint_init</b>	Implementation of Reintegration Initiated (0 or 1) The initiation of a reintegration program is underway. Procedures have been articulated and/or combatants have been given information about how to apply or join the program, or the program is already ongoing. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>reint_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Reintegration Observed Relative to what the reintegration program stipulated in the Accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made thus far toward completing the task. The program has not integrated a significant number of former combatants and is seen as problematic. If this level of progress were to continue, full implementation is unlikely.  Intermediate Implementation of Reintegration Observed Relative to what the reintegration program calls for, several rounds of combatants have gone through the program and have been reintegrated back into society. Given this rate of progress, full implementation by the end of next year is a realistic goal.  Full Implementation of Reintegration Observed This coding indicates that almost all of the expected number of rebel combatants who have registered have been reintegrated into civil society.
<b>reint_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Reparations

The accord calls for reparations or some form of compensation to be made to a particular group or to conflict victims more generally.

<b>repar_prov</b>	Provision for Reparations (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this subject in the accord?
<b>repar_init</b>	Implementation of Reparations Initiated (0 or 1) Operationally, this records the beginning of a political and/or legal process to address damages to victims and appropriate compensation for losses. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>repar_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Reparations Observed Relative to the process described in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made toward implementing a reparations process. A political or legal process has begun to define the degree of reparations and who is eligible.  Intermediate Implementation of Reparations Observed Intermediate, in this case, means that the institutional framework for providing reparations application process has been established.  Full Implementation of Reparations Observed This coding indicates that a viable reparations process was established and applicants were able to apply and many of the applicants received reparations for their losses.
<b>repar_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Self-Determination Process

The accord allows a process of determination by the people of a territorial unit of their own future political status. This process may or may not lead to an independence referendum or other means of choice.

<b>selfd_prov</b>	Provision for the Right to Self-Determination (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme in the accord?
<b>selfd_init</b>	Implementation of Right to Self-Determination Provision Initiated (0 or 1) The implementation for this provision has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a political or legal process to grant rights of self-determination. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>selfd_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Right to Self-Determination Provision Observed Relative to the changes called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward setting up a governing system allowing self-determination to the degree called for by the accord. The process of debating and drafting changes to be made has begun, but the institutional framework for allowing political self-determination is not yet operational.  Intermediate Implementation of Right to Self-Determination Provision Observed Relative to the changes called for in the accord, the state of implementation is intermediate. The institutional framework for allowing self-determination is in place, but the group or region has weak institutional capacity to govern as a political unit.  Full Implementation of Right to Self-Determination Provision Observed This coding indicates that the central government has put in place the institutional framework to allow self-determination for a particular area, and the area in question has been able to build its institutional capacity to function as a governing political unit.
<b>selfd_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)



## **Territorial Transitional Powersharing**

The accord calls for a transitional arrangement under which the rebels are allowed to keep some of their territory for a period of time as a security measure or bargaining tool.

<b>terpow_prov</b>	Provision for Territorial Powersharing (0 or 1) Is there a provision in the accord for territorial powersharing?
<b>terpow_init</b>	Implementation of Territorial Powersharing Initiated (0 or 1) The initiation of territorial powersharing can take the form of the beginning of a process of allowing rebel leaders to hold positions of power in certain territories. This is not a measure of implementation progress, but intended to capture the start of the process or program. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>terpow_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation Achieved (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Territorial Powersharing Observed Relative to the requirements in the accord, a minimal amount of territorial powersharing has occurred. Allowing rebel leaders to keep their territory is the exception, rather than the rule. If this level of progress were to continue, full implementation, as denoted by rebel governing of territories described in the agreement would be very unlikely.  Intermediate Implementation of Territorial Powersharing Observed This coding indicates that a process for allowing rebel leaders to keep the territory they held at the end of the conflict is in place. In practice a significant amount of territory is under political control of rebels  Full Implementation of Territorial Powersharing Observed This coding indicates that the rebels are governing the territory they held at the signing of the agreement.
<b>terpow_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Transitional Powersharing Government

The accord calls for the establishment of a transitional powersharing government in which members of the opposition are guaranteed positions in the new government at the level of cabinet or above, or given a specific quota in at least one of the main branches of government (executive, legislative, judicial).

<b>powtran_prov</b>	Provision for Transitional Power Sharing Government (1 or 0) Is there a provision in the accord for Transitional Powersharing?
<b>powtran_init</b>	Implementation of Transitional Power Sharing Government Initiated (1 or 0) The initiation of national powersharing usually takes the form of appointments starting to be made, or the beginning of a screening process or nomination process for appointing rebel leaders to positions of power within the government. This is not a measure of progress, but intended to capture the start of the process or program. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>powtran_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation Achieved (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2 or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Transitional Power Sharing Government Observed This category suggests a non-viable rate of progress. Relative to the total number of appointments to be made that would satisfy the requirement in the accord; a minimal number of appointees were given positions. If this level of progress were to continue, full implementation in two years would be very unlikely.  Intermediate Implementation of Transitional Power Sharing Government Observed This coding indicates that regular appointments are being made at a viable rate. Progress could be seen as slow by some, but the government is not purposefully delaying or blocking appointments. If this rate continues, full implementation this year is very likely.  Full Implementation of Transitional Power Sharing Government Observed This coding indicates that most of the required number of appointments that were called for in the accord have been made. Announcements may be given that the appointment process is complete. Continue using this code to the end of the time series.
<b>powtran_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Truth and Reconciliation Commission

The accord establishes a temporary body officially sanctioned to investigate and report on patterns of human rights abuses occurring over the course of the civil war.

<b>truth_prov</b>	Provision for Truth or Reconciliation Mechanism (0 or 1) Is there a provision for a Truth Commission in the accord?
<b>truth_init</b>	Implementation of Truth or Reconciliation Mechanism Initiated (0 or 1) A Truth or reconciliation mechanism has been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to create such a body. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>truth_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Truth or Reconciliation Mechanism Observed Minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward setting up an operational truth or reconciliation mechanism. The process to establish the institutional framework and jurisdiction of the commission may have begun, but the group is not yet working.  Intermediate Implementation of Truth or Reconciliation Mechanism Observed With regard to an existing and operational truth commission or other reconciliation commission, progress is in an intermediate state. The institutional framework for the commission is in place and members have been appointed. It appears that the process of collecting and interviewing victims is underway. For a reconciliation committee, meetings between parties are taking place.  Full Implementation of Truth or Reconciliation Mechanism Observed This coding indicates that the institutional commission as described by the accord is almost in place, or is fully in place.
<b>truth_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Verification Mechanism

The creation of a UN, international, regional, or internal mechanism to monitor and verify the implementation of the peace agreement.

<b>verify_prov</b>	Provision for Verification Mechanism (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme/subject in the accord?
<b>verify_init</b>	Implementation of Verification Initiated (0 or 1) Initiation, in this case, involves the parties to the conflict reaffirming the desire for a verification mission based on the accord. This may involve some UN involvement in the host country. Forming the verification team, however, has not begun. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>verify_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Verification Observed Minimal, in this case, means the formal process of setting up a verification group has begun.  Intermediate Implementation of Verification Observed The verification mission has been formally established, but the mission's capacity to offer verification of compliance across different sites within the country is not yet fully established.  Full Implementation of Verification Observed This coding indicates that a verification mission was established and is providing a level of verification comparable with its mandate.
<b>verify_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## UN Peacekeeping Force

The accord calls for the deployment of a United Nations peacekeeping force to assist in stabilizing the country.

<b>unpkf_prov</b>	Provision for UN Peacekeeping (0 or 1) Is there a provision for UN peacekeepers in the accord?
<b>unpkf_init</b>	Implementation of UN Peacekeeping Initiated (0 or 1) Initiation, in this case, involves the parties to the conflict reaffirming the desire and making plans for a UN peacekeeping force in the country. This may involve some UN involvement in the host country. Forming the UN peacekeeping force, however, has not begun. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>unpkf_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of UN Peacekeeping Observed Minimal, in this case, means the formal process of creating a UN peacekeeping mission has begun at the United Nations Security Council.  Intermediate Implementation of UN Peacekeeping Observed The UN peacekeeping mission has been formally established, but the level of troops has not yet reached 70 percent of the force level prescribed by the mandate.  Full Implementation of UN Peacekeeping Observed This coding indicates that at least 70 percent of the number of UN troops called for under the mandate have been deployed and are carrying out their missions.
<b>unpkf_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## UN Transitional Authority

A transitional authority established by agreement of the parties in cooperation with the United Nations Security Council, or imposed by the UNSC, to assist a country during a government regime change or transition to independence.

<b>untran_prov</b>	Provision for UN Transitional Authority (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme/subject in the accord?
<b>untran_init</b>	Implementation of UN Transitional Authority Initiated (0 or 1) Initiation, in this case, involves the parties to the conflict reaffirming the desire for a UN Transitional authority based on the accord. This may involve some UN involvement in the host country. Forming the UN transitional authority, however, has not begun. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators
<b>untran_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of UN Transitional Authority Observed Minimal, in this case, means the formal process of forming or creating the UN transitional authority has begun at the United Nations Security Council.  Intermediate Implementation of UN Transitional Authority Observed The UN transitional authority has been established, but the institutional framework for acting as a functional authority is not fully established.  Full Implementation of UN Transitional Authority Observed This coding indicates that a UN transitional authority was established and is operational as described by the accord for a transitional period.
<b>untran_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## **Withdrawal of Troops**

The accord calls for the permanent removal of military troops, and/or military bases from the country as a whole, or from certain areas of the country. These can be foreign troops fighting for one side or national troops who have occupied a particular territory within the state.

<b>with_prov</b>	Provision for Withdrawal of Troops (0 or 1) Is there a provision for this theme/subject in the accord?
<b>with_init</b>	Implementation of Withdrawal of Troops Initiated (0 or 1) Initiation in this case involves the parties to the conflict starting the process of determining which forces to be withdrawn from which areas of the state. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>with_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Withdrawal of Troops Observed Minimal, in this case, implies that a formal agreement has been reached between the parties involving the withdrawal of troops, but the withdrawal has not begun.  Intermediate Implementation for Withdrawal of Troops Observed The withdrawal of troops from the specified areas has begun, and the rate of progress suggests that full implementation is viable in 1 year.  Full Implementation of Withdrawal of Troops Observed This coding indicates that the process of withdrawing troops according to the agreement has been completed.
<b>with_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)

## Women's Rights

The accord calls for reforms aimed at protecting women's rights or achieving greater equality in areas of political, civil, economic, social, and cultural life; language calling for greater attention to the needs of women in the reconstruction process.

<b>women_prov</b>	Provision for Women's Rights (0 or 1) Is there a provision regarding women's rights in the accord?
<b>women_init</b>	Implementation of Women's Rights Provision Initiated (0 or 1) The changes calls for in the accord, usually institutional, to improve women's rights and living/working conditions have been initiated. Operationally, this records the beginning of a process to create a board or commission, or alter the constitution or legal code to improve conditions for women. If yes, the degree of implementation is recorded in the following indicators.
<b>women_implem</b>	Highest Degree of Implementation in the Year: (Current Year + Previous Years) (1, 2, or 3)  Minimal Implementation of Women's Rights Provision Observed Relative to what is called for in the accord, a minimal amount of progress has been made this year toward women's rights. The process of debating and drafting the changes has begun, but the actual reforms are yet to be realized.  Intermediate Implementation of Women's Rights Provision Observed Relative to the accord's specifications, changes toward improving the rights of women are in an intermediate state. In this case, intermediate suggests that the political and legal process has produced changes in the rules or laws effecting women, but the decision has not been implemented fully. The amount of progress achieved thus far suggests that implementation is viable.  Full Implementation of Women's Rights Provision Observed This coding indicates that the changes as described by the accord are almost in place, or are fully in place.
<b>women_revers</b>	Were there Reversals after Implementation? (0, 1 or 2)  Minor Reversal (a change within the same implementation category)  Major Reversal (a change from one category to another category below it)